

## COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA AD No.: 2025-0051

[Published on 28 February 2025 and officially closed for comments on 28 March 2025]

### Commenter 1: CHC Helicopter – Jens Schwarz – 05/03/2025

#### Comment # 1

The AD is vague regarding the cycle definition used specifying a compliance interval. It can be misinterpreted as landing cycles.

Note, ASB EC175-25-97-0001 contains an error in one of the compliance intervals. One criteria is using “hoist cycles” and the other “cycles” which was confirmed by AH both should be “hoist cycles”.

EASA to consider terminology to be changed to “hoist cycles” throughout the document.

Table 3 – Group 1A helicopters - Compliance Time

	Compliance Time - A or B, whichever occurs later
A	Within 24 months or 1 200 cycles, whichever occurs first since hoist manufacturing date or since last overload clutch replacement
B	Within 30 days or 50 cycles, whichever occurs first from the effective date of this AD

#### EASA response:

**Comment agreed.**

**The AD is revised accordingly, to clarify that “hoist cycles” are meant.**

### Commenter 2: FAA – Adam Hein – 28/03/2025

#### Comment # 2



I was reviewing EASA AD 2025-0051 and noticed what appears to be an error in it. Table 1 – Rescue Hoist Assembly lists part numbers for the various affected models of helicopters, but the Part Number given for the Leonardo AW109SP hoist assembly does not match what is listed in Leonardo Alert Service Bulletin 109SP-167 original issue dated 02 December 2024. The published number is 3G9521V01532 in the AD as opposed to 3G2591V01532 in the ASB.

AD 2025-0051 Table 1

Leonardo AW109SP	3G9521V01532	44316-12-104
------------------	--------------	--------------

SBA 109SP-167

P/N LHD	P/N Onboard Systems
3G2591V01532	44316-12-104

Can you confirm that this is an error in the AD and not in the ASB? If so, will you revise the AD to correct the error?

There also appears to be an unnecessary duplicate listing of Part Number 44301-12-113 for AHD EC135, but this does not affect the AD in the way that an incorrect Part Number would.

***EASA response:***

***Comment agreed.***

***The AD is revised accordingly, to update and correct the part number accordingly.***

