



COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA PAD No. 16-083

[Published on 01 June 2016 and officially closed for comments on 15 June 2016]

Commenter 1: Air France – Benjamin Pouyet – 03/06/2016

Comment # 1

This PAD has been published in the frame of the FR35 area inspection campaign on A321 (OIT 999.0098/15), as PAD 16-048 and PAD 16-049 published two months ago.

Please note the grace period difference between issued PAD:

PAD 16-048 - GP 2500FC

PAD 16-049 - GP 2500FC

PAD 16-083 - GP 2100FC

As each inspection are located in the same area, operators will performed the first inspection at the first GP threshold.

In order to ease operators inspection campaign management, could you please extend the PAD 16-083 to 2500FC to match with PAD 16-048 and 16-049?

EASA response:

Comment understood, but not agreed. Available data does not support extending the Compliance Time of this AD.

Commenter 2: Cathay Pacific Airways Limited – Jimmy Cheng – 06/06/2016

Comment # 2

Regarding PAD 16-083, CPA only have one comment, which is the effective date of 7 Days after AD issue date, instead of the usual 14 days. Given that the shortest Compliance Time for this PAD is 2100FC from the effective date of the AD, 14 Days minimum should be provided for Operators to provision All necessary Planning and action prior to the effective date. Please can EASA consider altering the Effective Date from 7 days to 14 days at minimum?

EASA response:

Comment agreed. The Final AD has been modified accordingly.

