



COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA PAD No. 16-102R1

[Published on 11 August 2016 and officially closed for comments on 25 August 2016]

Commenter 1: Cathay Pacific – Kenneth Lau – 12/08/2016

Comment # 1

After review the PAD 16-102R1, could EASA consider the proposal by CPA as follow:

1. to extend the Effective date of this PAD 16-102R1 to 30 days after AD issue date.
2. due to the tight schedule of hangar input, could the accomplishment of time compliance tasks (531327-01-1A, 571068-01-1A, 571068-01-1A-S and 571068-02-1A) in A300-600 ALS Part 2 Variation 1.1 be deferred ?

EASA response:

1. *The date of effectivity must not be considered as a grace period but is a pure administrative allowance.
The required actions must be performed within the compliance times.*
2. *For individual concessions please contact Airbus for technical support and your local airworthiness authorities.*

No changes to the AD resulted from this comment.

