



COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA PAD No. 17-063

[Published on 22 May 2017 and officially closed for comments on 19 June 2017]

Commenter 1: Stobart Air – Gerry Butler – 22/05/2017

Comment # 1

Stobart Air have suggest a change on the 'Inspection results' on page AR-2 of SB ATR72-92-1044 to ATR. The reason is as follows:

If on inspection, the answer to question (a) on Page AR-2, "Is the clamp installed ?", is 'YES', then question (b) is a little confusing, as if the person inspecting confirms the clamp installed in (a), that person would then not need to carry out task 1, so will answer to (b) will be 'NO', however, as the clamp is installed this means that task 1 was previously done on the aircraft assembly line so the answer to (b) could equally be 'YES' ?

Stobart Air have suggested to ATR that question (b) could read: " If answer in (a) is 'NO', is the task 1 done?" .

Meanwhile, it is noted that in Section C "Description" on Page PI-3 and C "Inspection" on Page AI-2 of the SB (s) the logic of the inspection is clearer.

EASA response:Agreed

Once the AD is released, the SB will be updated with MANDATORY compliance, instead of RECOMMENDED.
At that time, the transmittal sheet will be updated in accordance with your comment.

