

COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA PAD No. 20-203

[Published on 18 December 2020 and officially closed for comments on 15 January 2021]

Commenter 1: Singapore Aero Engine Services Private Limited (SAESL) – Abdul Malek Abdul Aziz – 27/01/2021

Comment # 1

We understand that the consultation period has passed. But due to the NMSB which is still in balloting phase, we had difficulties going through the PAD as an organization.

We have only one clarification for this PAD: In paragraph 2 (in-shop inspection), it mentioned “substantial rebuild”. Does this refer to module build or engine build? For example, engine A is undergoing engine build and the IPT module and LPT module have not coupled together, the rear of the IPT module is exposed which allows the inspection to be carried out. Does the substantial rebuild includes this scenario or similar?

EASA response:

Comment noted. EASA confirms that the commenter’s scenario would be a case of “substantial rebuild not yet started”.

In fact, any scenario prior to the coupling of the IPT and LPT modules should be considered as “substantial rebuild not yet started”.

No changes have been made to the Final AD in response to this comment.