

## COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA PAD No. 23-014

[Published on 31 January 2023 and officially closed for comments on 14 February 2023]

### Commenter 1: Air Invest – Zsolt Antal – 13/02/2023

#### Comment # 1

- A) Alternative Method(s): “(3) Accomplishment of the AMM task, as defined in this AD, on an aeroplane is an acceptable method to comply with the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2) of this AD, as applicable, for that aeroplane.”
- This paragraph refers to paragraph (1) where the inspection has to be done within 6 months after the effective date of this AD and causes a little uncertainty in what is an acceptable timeframe to perform AMM task 57-00-00-210-801. We understand that the AD defines the AMM Revision but could you please clarify if any time the AD defined AMM task is accomplished, is it acceptable for the paragraph (1) of the AD.
- B) In the Reason section it is stated: “For the reason described above, this AD requires a one-time inspection of the wing anti-ice system and, depending on findings, corrective actions.”
- The referred AMM task 57-00-00-210-801 is a repetitive task in the Dassault Ch5 at every 24 months while the SB is a one-time inspection. The PAD does not give clarification whether the repetitive AMM task accomplishment is expected to be a mandatory requirement further and whether operators need to amend AMP with this information.

#### EASA response:

- A) Comment noted. The AD requires (paragraph (1)) to accomplish an inspection within 6 months after its effective date. An alternative method to comply with the inspection requirement is provided (paragraph (3)). This means that, within 6 months after the effective date of the AD, an inspection must be accomplished in accordance with (iaw) the instructions of the SB, or, as an alternative, iaw the instructions of the AMM task. Inspections accomplished iaw the instructions of the SB or of the AMM task before the effective date of the AD are also acceptable to comply with the AD requirements, under the clause “Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously”. No changes have been made to the Final AD in response to this comment.**
- B) Comment noted. EASA confirm that the AD only requires a one-time inspection as described. The wording of paragraph (3) has been updated to clarify this. It has to be noted that the implementation of repetitive inspections will be required thru Airworthiness Limitations Sections AD, as applicable.**

