

COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA PAD No. 25-019

[Published on 29 January 2025 and officially closed for comments on 26 February 2025]

Commenter 1: Delta Air Lines, Inc. – Michael D. Tharp / Gabriel De Jesus Exclusa – 07/02/2025

Comment # 1

Delta request to revise the statement of the Reference Publication Section to state “The use of later approved variations or revisions of the above-mentioned document is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of this AD.”

The reason to revise the statement is because it adds a “to” after variations. The Reference Publications Statement states, “The use of later approved variations **to** or revisions of the above-mentioned document is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of this AD.” This could lead to confusion as EASA ALS ADs typically state, “The use of later approved variations or revisions of the above-mentioned document is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of this AD.”

EASA response:

Comment agreed. Final AD has been amended accordingly.

Commenter 2: Cebu Air, Inc. – Gherold C. Paderes – 21/02/2025

Comment # 2

We just want to confirm regarding the subject PAD whether Airbus A330 ALS Part 4 Revision 10 Variation 10.1 would be also covered by this proposed Airworthiness Directive and an implementation/grace period would be included on the content of this document.

EASA response:

EASA confirm that the A330 ALS Part 4 Revision 10 Variation 10.1 is not addressed by this AD. No changes have been made to the Final AD in response to this comment.

