

## COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT

EASA PAD No. 25-078

[Published on 02 June 2025 and officially closed for comments on 10 June 2025]

**Commenter 1: Amac Aerospace – Pavol Sikula – 28/05/2025**

### Comment # 1

Comment 1: We noticed there is no compliance time mention for A319 AFM update in PAD. PAD par (1) only mention 6 months for A318. What is compliance time for A319 AFM update?

Comment 2: In general we feel this PAD is more requiring action on operations side of operator than on technical side as no technical solution is proposed and will be hard to ensure/ record compliance with PAD par (5) and (6) we proposing to consider other mean of mandating actions described in PAD par (5) and (6) (CCD Amendment; Crew training)

### **EASA response:**

**Comment 1: Comment agreed. The PAD was revised accordingly to add a compliance time for A319 aeroplanes.**

**Comment 2: Comment not agreed. The PAD does indeed require action on the technical side. A deficiency has been identified in a system intended for use during emergency evacuation. One of the actions mandated by the PAD is the implementation—via a CIL—of an updated procedure that ensures the correct use of this emergency equipment. This constitutes a mandatory corrective action. In addition, it was considered appropriate to ensure, via mandatory requirements, that Operators' Cabin Crew receive appropriate training on the updated procedure. Hence the need for Paragraphs (5) and (6). No other mean seems feasible to achieve the objective. No changes were made to the Revision of this PAD in response to this comment.**