

**EASA PAD No. 07-100**  
**COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT**  
**[officially closed for comments on 28 June 2007]**

PAD / DOC PARAGRAPH COMMENTED	COMMENT / PROPOSAL	AUTHOR OF THE COMMENT	DATE OF COMMENT	PCM RESPONSE
Compliance	For clarification I would appreciate to have a note explaining that:  A) for engines which have had the internal vent tube heat shield removed with IOR 72-E965 removed and  B) that have been inspected as per 72-AE302 rev 4 or later issue after, are not subject of this AD.	Enrique Tobaruela A330 Continuous Airworthiness Manager Iberworld Airlines, S.A. Madrid Spain	23 July 2007	This will be clarified, and also included in the Applicability. The same also applies when 72-AE302 rev 4/5 or 72-AE792 rev 3 follow 72-AE792 rev 2 or 72-AE302 rev 3, respectively.
Applicability	In the applicability section, NMSB 72-AE302 <b>rev 3 or earlier issue</b> was referred. However, the latest rev is 5. Is <b>REV 3</b> an typo?	David Yin Powerplant Tech Support Engineer Skyservice Airlines	28 June 2007	Not a typo, rev 3 did not include a restrictor inspection, hence 72-AF 424 is applicable.
Compliance	This PAD requires that " <b>For 05 modules which have been inspected IAW NMSB 72-AE792 or NMSB 72-AE302 at issue of this NMSB carry out action within 6 months from issue of this AD</b> " It appears that this AD requires the inspection of the flow restrictor again even though the inspection was done months ago, correct ? If we carry out the inspection just before the effective date of the AD, will we have to do it again?	David Yin Powerplant Tech Support Engineer Skyservice Airlines	28 June 2007	No, refer to first question above.